



PHYSICAL TESTING ANALYSIS REPORT

Description:	Determination of Frost Resistance			
Test Method:	EN 539-2:2013			
Lucideon Reference:	(194206)-33060			
Client:	Hinton, Perry & Davenhill Limited Dreadnought Road Pensnett Brierley Hill West Midlands DY5 4TH			
For the Attention of:	Mr. Christopher Dyke			
Date Logged:	29-Jul-2019			
Date of Tests:	31-Jul-2019 to 07-Oct-2019			
Report Date:	07-Oct-2019			
Purchase Order No.:	29536			

Please find attached the results for the sample(s) recently submitted for analysis. Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS Accreditation.

Mr Richard Oliver Manager

This report is issued in accordance with the Conditions of Business of Lucideon Limited and relates only to the sample(s) tested. No responsibility is taken for the accuracy of the sampling unless this is done under our own supervision. This report shall not be reproduced in part without the written approval of Lucideon Limited, nor used in any way as to lead to misrepresentation of the results or their implications.

Page 1 of 3

T +44 (0)1782 764428 enquiries@lucideon.com www.lucideon.com



CLAY ROOFING TILES – TEST FOR FROST RESISTANCE FOR DISCONTINUOUS LAYING DETERMINATION OF PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS BS EN 539 Part 2 Test for Frost Resistance 2013

1 SAMPLES RECEIVED

Six plain tiles with nominal dimensions of 265 x 165 mm were received for testing as sampled by client.

2 TEST PROCEDURE

2.1 Saturation of Tiles

The samples were dried at 110°C, weighed and examined for existing defects, then progressively immersed in water over a period of 5 days. After the tiles are fully immersed they are then left to soak for a further 72 hours, then they are removed and weighed. The water absorption results are given in Table 2.

2.2 Freeze/Thaw Tests

The tiles were tested according to the method described in BS EN 539-2: 2013 European Single Test Method using the apparatus illustrated in that standard. The tiles were examined at 30, 90 and 150 cycles.

2.3 Results

The tiles are assessed for damage using the criteria stated in Table 1.

Table 1 - Interpretation of the Results

		Front	Back
1	Pit	-	-
2	Hair Crack	-	-
3	Nascent Crack	-	-
4	Surface Crack	Х	Xa
5	Surface Damage (chip, peeling, flaking)	Х	Xa
6	Structural	Х	Х
7	Loss of Interlocking ribs	Х	Х
8	Break	Х	Х
9	Delamination	Х	Х
10	Loss of all Nibs		Х

^a Where the degree of damage indicates that the functional performance of the product would not be assured.

Table 2 - Results

	%	Frost Damage						
Tile No:	Water Absorption	30 Cycles (Front)	30 Cycles (Back)	90 Cycles (Front)	90 Cycles (Back)	150 Cycles (Front)	150 Cycles (Back)	
1	3.4	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	
2	3.6	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	
3	3.5	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	
4	3.5	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	
5	3.2	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	
6	3.3	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	
Mean	3.4	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE	

	Frost Damage							
Tile No:	180 Cycles (Front)	180 Cycles (Back)	270 Cycles (Front)	270 Cycles (Back)	360 Cycles (Front)	360 Cycles (Back)	450 Cycles (Front)	450 Cycles (Back)
1	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE						
2	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE						
3	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE						
4	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE						
5	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE						
6	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE						
Mean	NO DAMAGE	NO DAMAGE						

The tiles were examined after 450 cycles for signs of damage due to the action of frost.

3 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The sample meets the criteria for level 1, minimum 150 in the above standard. After 450 cycles, none of the tiles show any damage described as unacceptable according to the above standard in Table 1.

NOTE: The results given in this report apply only to the samples that have been tested. END OF TEST REPORT